Computer Science 294 Lecture 17 Notes

Daniel Raban

March 14, 2023

1 Bonami's Lemma and Hypercontractivity

1.1 B-reasonable random variables and Bonami's lemma

Definition 1.1. For a real $B \ge 1$, a random variable X is *B*-reasonable if

$$\mathbb{E}[X^4] \le B(\mathbb{E}[X^2])^2.$$

This property is scaling invariant: If X is B-reasonable, then cX is also B-reasonable for $B \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 1.1. The constant random variable X = 1 is 1-reasonable.

Example 1.2. $X \sim \{\pm 1\}$ is 1-reasonable.

Example 1.3. A standard Gaussian random variable $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ is 3-reasonable.

Example 1.4. The uniform random variable $Y \sim U[-1, 1]$ is $\frac{9}{5}$ -reasonable.

Example 1.5. Let

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } 1/2^n \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - 1/2^n. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbb{E}[X^4] = 1/2^n$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = 1/2^n$, so $B = 2^n$. We think of this random variable as not so reasonable because with a small probability, it can be 1, which "surprises" us.

Proposition 1.1 (Concentration). Let $X \ge 0$ a.s. If X is B-reasonable, then

$$\mathbb{P}(X \ge t ||X||_2) \le \frac{B}{t^4}.$$

Here, $||X||_2 := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}$. We can restate the definition of a *B*-reasonable random variable as

$$||X||_4 \le B^{1/4} ||X||_2$$

Proof. Use Markov's inequality.

Remark 1.1. Compare this concentration result with Chebyshev's inequality, which gives a rate of decay of $1/t^2$.

Proposition 1.2 (Anti-concentration). Let $X \ge 0$ a.s. If X is B-reasonable, then for $0 \le t \le 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \ge t \|X\|_2) \ge \frac{(1-t^2)^2}{B}$$

We will not prove this, but you can prove it as an exercise or find the proof in the textbook.

Lemma 1.1 (Bonami). Let $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ have deg $f \leq k$, and let $X \sim \{\pm 1\}^n$. Then f(X) is 9^k -reasonable. That is,

$$\mathbb{E}_{X \sim \{\pm 1\}^n}[f(X)^4] \le 9^k (\mathbb{E}_{X \sim \{\pm 1\}^n}[f(X)^2])^2.$$

We can also think of this as

 $||f||_4 \le (\sqrt{3})^k ||f||_2.$

Proof of Bonami's lemma. Recall the derivative

$$D_i f(x) = \frac{f(x^{i \mapsto +1}) - f(x^{i \mapsto -1})}{2}$$

We can similarly define the operator

$$E_i f(x) = \frac{f(x^{i \mapsto +1}) + f(x^{i \mapsto -1})}{2}.$$

These two give a representation of f:

$$f(x) = X_i \cdot D_i f(x) + E_i f(x).$$

Note that $D_i f$ and $E_i f$ are functions that don't depend on x_i . In particular, $D_i f$ has degree $\leq k - 1$, and $E_i f$ has degree $\leq k$.

Now we proceed by induction on n and k (for each k, we do induction on n, then overall induct over k). For n = 0, a degree 0 function is a constant, so this inequality holds. If $n \ge 1$, write

$$f(x) = X_n \cdot D_n f(x) = E_n f(x),$$

and define the random variables

$$d = D_n f(X), \qquad e = E_n f(X), \qquad \text{where } X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} \sim \{\pm 1\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{X \sim \{\pm 1\}^n}[f(X)^4] = \mathbb{E}[(X_n d + e)^4],$$

which we want to compare to

$$9^{k} (\mathbb{E}[f(X)^{2}])^{2} = 9^{k} (\mathbb{E}[X_{n}d + w)^{2}])^{2}.$$

To compare these, use the binomial theorem and the linearity of expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_nd + e)^4] = \mathbb{E}[X_n^4d^4] + 4\mathbb{E}[X_n^3d^3e] + 6\mathbb{E}[X_n^2d^2e^2] + 4\mathbb{E}[X_nde^3] + \mathbb{E}[e^4] \\ = \mathbb{E}[d^4] + 6\mathbb{E}[d^2e^2] + \mathbb{E}[e^4].$$

On the other side, we have

$$9^{k} (\mathbb{E}[(X_{n}d+w)^{2}])^{2} = 9^{k} (\mathbb{E}[X_{n}^{2}d^{2}] + 2\mathbb{E}[X_{n}de] + \mathbb{E}[e^{2}])^{2}$$
$$= 9^{k} ((\mathbb{E}[d^{2}])^{2} + 2\mathbb{E}[d^{2}]\mathbb{E}[e^{2}] + (\mathbb{E}[e^{2}])^{2})$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we know that $\mathbb{E}[d^4] \leq 9^{k-1} (\mathbb{E}[d^2])^2$ and $\mathbb{E}[d^4] \leq 9^k (\mathbb{E}[e^2])^2$. By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$6 \mathbb{E}[d^2 e^2] \le 6\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[d^4] \mathbb{E}[e^4]}$$
$$\le 6\sqrt{9^{k-1}} \mathbb{E}[d^2]\sqrt{9^k} \mathbb{E}[e^2]$$
$$= 2 \cdot 9^k \mathbb{E}[d^2] \mathbb{E}[e^2].$$

Now compare the two sides term by term.

Recall the noise operator $T_{\rho}f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{Y \rho \text{-corr. with } x}[f(Y)]$. We know that $\widehat{T_{\rho}f}(S) = \rho^{|S|/2}\widehat{f}(S)$.

Corollary 1.1. Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, and let $f^{=k} = \sum_{|S|=k} \widehat{f}(S)^2 \chi_S$. Then

$$||T_{1/3}f^{=k}||_4 \le ||f^{=k}||_2$$

Proof. Using Bonami's lemma,

$$\|(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})^k f^{=k}\|_4 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})^k \|f^{=k}\|_4 \le \|f^{=k}\|_2.$$

1.2 Hypercontractivity and its consequences

Theorem 1.1 ((4,2)-hypercontractivity). For all $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$||T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f||_4 \le ||f||_2.$$

More generally, we can say $||T_{1/\sqrt{q-1}}f||_q \le ||f||_2$ for all $q \ge 2$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Bonami's lemma.

Bonami's lemma tells you how reasonable low-degree functions are. On the other hand, hypercontractivity tells you how smooth the function becomes once you apply the noise operator.

Theorem 1.2 ((2,4/3)-hypercontractivity). For all $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f\|_2 \le \|f\|_{4/3}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \|T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f\|_2^2 &= \mathbb{E}[T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f(X)^2] \\ &= \langle T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f(X)^2, T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f(X)^2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_S \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{|S|} \widehat{f}(S) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{|S|} \widehat{f}(S) \\ &= \sum_S \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{|S|} \widehat{f}(S)^2 \\ &= \langle f, T_{1/3}f \rangle \\ \end{split}$$
 Using Hölder's inequality with $p = 4/3$ and $q = 4$,

 $\leq \|f\|_{4/3} \|T_{1/3}f\|_4$ Using the (4,2)-Hypercontractivity with $T_{1/\sqrt{3}}(T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f)$, $\leq \|f\|_{4/3} \|T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f\|_2.$

Now divide both sides by $||T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f||_2$.

Corollary 1.2. For all $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\operatorname{Stab}_{1/3}(f) \le \|f\|_{4/3}^2.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \text{Stab}_{1/3}(f) &\leq \langle T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f, T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f \rangle \\ &\leq \|T_{1/\sqrt{3}}f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|f\|_{4/3}^2. \end{split}$$

Corollary 1.3 (Small-set expansion of noisy hypercube). Let $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ and let $\alpha = \mathbb{E}[f] = \mathbb{P}_{X \sim \{\pm 1\}^n}(f(X) = 1)$. Then

$$\operatorname{Stab}_{1/3}(f) \le \left(\frac{(\mathbb{E}[|f(X)|^{4/3}])^{3/4}}{\alpha}\right)^2 = \alpha^{3/2}.$$

Remark 1.2. This has a combinatorial interpretation:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Stab}_{1/3}(f) &= \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y) \ 1/3\text{-corr.}}[f(X)f(Y)] \\ &= \mathbb{P}(f(X) = 1)\mathbb{P}(f(Y) = 1 \mid f(X) = 1) \\ &= \alpha \mathbb{P}(f(Y) = 1 \mid f(X) = 1), \end{aligned}$$

So this says that

$$\mathbb{P}(f(Y) = 1 \mid f(X) = 1) \le \alpha^{1/2}.$$

Thinking of this in terms of a random walk on the hypercube, if we take $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in A\}}$, we get behavior of the form

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \notin A \mid X \in A) \ge 1 - \alpha^{1/2}.$$

Here is a key corollary:

Corollary 1.4. Let $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$, and let $g = D_i f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1, 0, -1\}$. Define the 1/3-influence as

$$\operatorname{Inf}_{i}^{(1/3)}(f) := \operatorname{Stab}_{1/3}(D_{i}f) = \sum_{S} \widehat{f}(S)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{|S|-1}.$$

Then

$$Inf_i^{(1/3)}(f) \le (Inf_i(f))^{3/2}.$$

Proof. Since $D_i f$ takes ± 1 -values, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Stab}_{1/3}(D_i f) &\leq \|D_i f\|_{4/3}^2 \\ &= ((\mathbb{E}[|D_i f(X)|]^{4/3})^{3/4})^2 \\ &= (\underbrace{(\mathbb{E}[|D_i f(X)|]^2}_{\operatorname{Inf}_i(f)})^{3/4})^2 \\ &= (\operatorname{Inf}_i(f))^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Next time, we will see an application of hypercontractivity to proving the KKL theorem:

Theorem 1.3 (Kahn-Kalai-Linial). For $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$,

$$Max Inf(f) \ge \Omega(\operatorname{Var}(f)\frac{\log n}{n}).$$

Remark 1.3. Compare this to the Poincaré inequality, which gives

$$\operatorname{Max} \operatorname{Inf}(f) \ge \frac{\operatorname{Var}(f)}{n}.$$

We will also see Friedgut's theorem and the FKN theorem:

Theorem 1.4 (Friedgut). Any function $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ is ε -close to a $2^{O(\mathbb{I}(f))/\varepsilon}$ -junta. **Theorem 1.5** (Friedgut-Kalai-Naor). If $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ has $W^{\leq 1}(f) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ (that is, $\sum_{|S| \le 1} \widehat{f}(S)^2 \ge 1 - \varepsilon$), then f is $O(\varepsilon)$ -close to a dictator function.